

<b>Date</b>	Thursday, 12 November 2015
-------------	----------------------------

<b>Title of paper</b>	Feedback from Quality, Prevention and Innovation Working Group 30.09.2015
-----------------------	--

<b>Presenter</b>	Dr Nicola Jones			
<b>Author</b>	Dr Nicola Jones			
<b>Responsible Director</b>	N/A			
<b>Clinical Lead</b>	Dr Nicola Jones / Dr Jane Fryer			
<b>Confidential</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Items are only confidential if it is in the public interest for them to be so

<b>The Committee is asked to: NOTE &amp; ACCEPT</b>
Note / Endorse / Recommend / Approve / Accept

<p><b>Summary of purpose and scope of report</b></p> <p>This working group had its inaugural meeting on 30.09.2015.</p> <p>The Terms of Reference and membership for the group were approved with an addition on the work plan for the group to primarily focus on PMS review until April 2016.</p> <p>The PMS review paper was reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NHS England is mandated to complete or review PMS contracts across South West London by 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016.</li> <li>• More staff (consultants) has been recruited within NHSE and London for the purpose of reviewing data, KPIs and raising letters for consultation.</li> <li>• Letters will be going out to practices – this is not a notice. It is a letter explaining the review and talking about a possible variation to the contract.</li> <li>• There is a London PMS review group already in existence, which has LMC representatives on its membership.</li> <li>• In line with the guidance, NHSE will ensure that resources that are freed up from PMS reviews are reinvested in local general practice services.</li> <li>• KPI reporting in each CCG has begun.</li> <li>• There is recognition that PMS contracts may not be signed by 31.03.2016.</li> <li>• A letter from SWL CCG Chairs to Simon Weldon regarding the timeline was acknowledged.</li> <li>• Letters have gone out to CCG Chairs saying that the review will be starting.</li> <li>• There was an in depth discussion around the service specifications</li> </ul> <p>Recommendations from the Working Group to the Joint Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the absence of a formal response from NHSE regarding the timelines of the review, the group accepted the proposed way forward by NHS England using this form of review against the 17 specifications.</li> <li>• Propose that NHS England look at the current KPIs (on a practice by practice basis) to make sure we do not inadvertently destabilise any practice in the process.</li> </ul>
--

**South West London Collaboration of CCG's and NHS England  
PAPER NUMBER 14**

- Recommend to the Joint Committee that this Working Group acts as the PMS task and finish group (SPG level) where there is value in taking an SPC approach, although the PMS review will largely be undertaken at a local CCG level.

**Quality & Safety/ Patient Engagement/ Impact on patient services:**

Yes

**Finance, resources and QIPP**

Yes

**Equality / Human Rights / Privacy impact analysis**

N/A

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Mitigating actions</b>	
Are there any risks? N/A	If so what are the mitigating actions in place or proposed to be in place?	
<b>Supporting documents</b>		
None		
<b>Governance and reporting</b> (list committees, groups, other bodies in your CCG or other CCGs that have discussed the paper)		
Committee name	Date discussed	Outcome
This keeps a good governance trail of where papers have been / need to go etc.		